

S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED I	VENTOR		F-SE-3243	
09/211.715	12/14/98	AL-OBEIDI		r .		
			¬ [EXAMINER	
		HM12/1119	ļ	MOEZIE,		
CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 700			1654	8		

SUITE 700 SAN DIEGO CA 92122

DATE MAILED: 11/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/211,715 Applicant(s)

Al-Cheidi et al.

Examiner Group Art Unit

1654

Office Addion Guillinary	Examiner		Group Art Unit
	F. Mo	1251e	1654
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover shee	t beneath the co	errespondence address—
Period for Reply	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE One	MONTH(8)	FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. 	within the statutory mir pire SIX (6) MONTHS fi	nimum of thirty (30) from the mailing date	days will be considered timely.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/14	98		
This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
XClaim(s) 1-26		is/are p	ending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)		is/are a	ıllowed.
Claim(s)		is/are r	ejected.
Claim(s)			objected to.
χ Claim(s) $1-26$			pject to restriction or election
Application Papers		require	ment.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.		
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved	d disapproved	i.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner	r.	
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Intern	e priority documents	have been	
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(S)	Interview Sumn	nary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948			

Office Action Summary

Serial Number: 09/211,715 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11, drawn to non-naturally occurring compounds, classified in class 530, for example, subclass depending on the structure of the elected specie.

Fin

+ r1

- II. Claims 12-23, drawn to a non-naturally occurring compounds, classified in class514, for example, subclass depending on the structure of the elected specie.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions I and II are distinct because each has a separate method of preparation, different structure and different physicochemical properties.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial Number: 09/211.715 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) 10 species of claim 7, b) 51 species of claim 8, c) 2 species of claim 9, d) 2 species of claim 10, e) 18 species of claim 11, f) I specie of claim 18, g) 15 species of claim 19, h) 10 species of claim 20, 1 specie of claim 21, j) 1 specie of claim 22 and k) 1 specie of claim 23.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6 and 12-17 are purely generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Serial Number: 09/211,715 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include a) an election of the invention b) an election of the invention-specie and c) a clear indication of the claims reading on the elected invention-specie to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F.T. Moezie whose telephone number is (703) 305-4508 or Mr. Woodward (SPE) at 308-4028.

F. T. MOEZIE, Ph.D.
RIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 188